

INTERNAL DRAFT

Appendix O

Regional Mitigation

INTERNAL DRAFT

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix O. Regional Mitigation

O.I REGIONAL MITIGATION STRATEGY

Mitigation strategies, which take into account the mitigation hierarchy (avoid, minimize, restore, offset), are an important tool for ensuring the BLM meets its resource protection and management objectives while continuing to honor its multiple-use mission. The BLM priority is to mitigate impacts to an acceptable level onsite, to the extent practical, through avoidance (not taking a certain action or parts of an action), minimization (limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation), rectification (repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment), or reduction of impacts over time (preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action). While mitigating impacts for proposed projects to an acceptable level onsite is typically analyzed and determined through site-specific, implementation-level NEPA documents and their commensurate decision documents, the analysis and mitigation for project level activities will be tiered to the analysis and mitigation proposed throughout each of the action alternatives in this RMP.

For those impacts that cannot be sufficiently avoided or minimized onsite, the BLM must ensure implementation of effective measures to offset (or compensate for) such impacts and to maintain or improve the viability of BLM resources over time. Regional mitigation may be a necessary component for many large renewable and nonrenewable energy development projects as well as many smaller projects with cumulative effects on the areas; effects could include but are not limited to, those on ACECs, Wild and Scenic Rivers, and WSA.

Any regional mitigation strategy for BLM managed lands will comply with BLM's *Regional Mitigation Manual Section (MS) 1794*, which provides policies, procedures, and instructions for:

1. Adopting a regional approach to planning and implementing mitigation, including pre-identifying potential mitigation sites, projects, and measures; and
2. Identifying the type of mitigation that is needed to compensate for impacts to resources or values caused by a land use authorization.

It is important to note that any mitigation strategy must include the cooperation and coordination of appropriate and pertinent federal, state, and local land and resource management agencies across the landscape. The final strategy adopted and implemented within a landscape will be dependent on the unique resources and values of the regional landscape and the mitigation strategies and resources contributed by the regional partners. It is important to acknowledge that the state government working with the BLM as a Cooperating Agency on this land use plan amendment may have already completed, or is currently working on, statewide mitigation strategies. The BLM will continue to work with and support those state government efforts.

The BLM will establish a Mitigation Implementation Team within its ID team. The mitigation team is responsible for developing a Mitigation Strategy consistent with BLM MS 1794, as appropriate. The teams will coordinate recommended mitigation strategies between RMP planning areas and local and state jurisdictions for mitigation consistency, where appropriate.

The implementation team will be responsible for implementing BLM MS 1794, and making recommendations regarding the following items related to compensatory mitigation:

- 40 1. A structure for determining appropriate mitigation, including impact (debit) and benefit (credit)
41 calculation methods, mitigation ratios, mitigation “currency” (i.e., numbers of acres, etc.), location,
42 and performance standards options by considering local and regional, mitigation options;
43 2. How to resolve mitigation-oriented discrepancies that arise within the RFPO;
44 3. The application and the holding and disposition of any mitigation funds;
45 4. The most appropriate mitigation for impacts from a given land use authorization and type of habitat
46 or resource impacted;
47 5. Prioritization of potential mitigation sites, projects, and measures, as guided by conservation
48 strategies (e.g., priority habitat areas); and
49 6. Reviewing mitigation monitoring reports and analyzing and reporting on project effectiveness,
50 corrective measures/adaptive management (where required), and cumulative effects of mitigation
51 actions.

52 The Mitigation Implementation Team will make recommendations to the BLM Authorized Officer. If the
53 recommendations are rejected for any reason, the Mitigation Implementation Team will be re-convened to
54 develop additional recommendations.